#### M. M. ROBERTS.

MARCH 23, 1860.—Ordered to be printed.

MR. TAPPAN, from the Committe on Claims, made the following

### REPORT.

The Committee on Claims, to whom was referred the petition of M. M. Roberts, "praying to be refunded money expended by him in endeavoring to recover stolen property," have had the same nuder consideration, and beg leave to report:

That claimant alleges he was a deputy collector of the customs for the district of Champlain in the year 1842; and while acting as said deputy collector, he seized for illegal importations into the United States one pair of gray mares appraised at \$150; that he placed the said property for safe-keeping in a barn, and that during the night the barn was broken open and the mares stolen. Claimant further alleges that he employed two persons to search for the stolen property, to whom he paid forty dollars, and that his own personal expenses were nineteen dollars, total, fifty-nine dollars, which he asks may be refunded him. There is no evidence establishing the truth of any of these allegations, but if they be admitted to be true, there would be no claim against the government. If he paid out fifty-nine dollars, without any authority from the government, to recover property appraised at one hundred and fifty, and in which he had an equal interest with the government, provided it had been legally seized, he paid it at his own individual cost, and has no shadow of claim against the government. Your committee therefore report back the petition, and recommend that the prayer of the petitioner be not granted.

# eat .o. 1

## M. M. ROBERTS.

Manen 23, 1860, -Unlisted to be printed

Min. Tarray, from the Committee on Claims, made the following

## REPORT

The Committee on Civion, to whose was referred the petition of M. M.
Roberts, "praying to be refunded miney expended by him in embraveoring to resour states property," have had the same audor consideration, and bey leave to report:

That claimant alleges he was a departy collector of the entrons interested she since of Claumpisto in the year 1842; and while acting as said the district of Claumpisto in the year 1842; and while acting as said departy collector, he skized for illegal importations into the United States one part of gray unates appraised at \$160; that he placed the said property for sate-keeping in a hard, and that during the night said property for the major of two persons to search for the stolen, Claimant further the wilder that he employed two persons to search for the stolen property, whom he paid tour deliges, and that his own personal expanses the whom he paid tour obliges, and that his own personal expanses the employed to be true, there would be reduced him. There is no evidence establishing the true, there would be claim against the government. If he paid out the property one no claim against the government, he recover property one without any antipority from the government, to recover property one with the government, provided it had been legally soled, he guid it at his own individual cost, and has no shadow of claim against the government. Your committee therefore report back the patrion, and read and the patrion of the patrion of the patrion of the patrion.